IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE 96 JUN 12 AM 6: 17

In re Application of:

GROUP 260

Art Unit 2609

ZE'EV DRORI

Serial No. 08/334,843

Examiner: Weldon, U.

Filed: November 4, 1994

For: ELECTRONICALLY PROGRAMMA-

BLE REMOTE CONTROL ACCESS

SYSTEM

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION; INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT UNDER 37 CFR 1.97(C)

Honorable Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

This is in response to the office action mailed March 12, 1996.

Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Rejection

Claims 95, 96 and 98-100 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting, as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of 5,146,215. This rejection is mooted by the terminal disclaimer filed herewith. Accordingly, the rejection should now be withdrawn.

Rejection under 35 USC § 103.

The remaining rejection is under Section 103. Claims 95, 96 and 98-110 stand rejected under 35 USC § 103 as being unpatentable over Pinnow ('046) in view of Aydin, Tolson and Sanders et al. The rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claims 95-106 are drawn to an electronically programmable remote control vehicle security system, comprising a